UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,823	04/18/2006	Ulrike Stein	2958-135	2061
	7590 09/11/2001 FIGG, ERNST & MAN	EXAMINER		
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			HALVORSON, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/564,823	STEIN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mark Halvorson	1642		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	nuary 2006.			
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.		•		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	•		
7) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) Dobjected to b	y the Examiner.		
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s	i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		polication No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	-		
	application from the International Bureau		3		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.		
Attachmen	nt(s)				
· ===	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date		
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- 1. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 9 and 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 32 drawn to a nucleic acid coding for Prognostin,
 - 2. Claims 2, 25, 28, 31, drawn to Prognostin-polypeptide.
 - 3. Claim 7, 30, 33, drawn to an antibody.

Claim 10-13 link(s) inventions 4 and 5. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 4 and 5. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 **Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting

Art Unit: 1642

rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 4. Claims 20, 39 and 41 are drawn to a method of diagnosing a tumour disease using a nucleic acid.
- 5. Claim 38 and 40 are drawn to a method of diagnosing a tumour disease using an antibody.
- 6. Claims 14-16 drawn to a method for the treatment of tumour diseases.
- 7. Claims 17, drawn to a method for the identification of substances binding to Prognostin.
- 8. Claim 18, drawn to a method for the preparation of a pharmaceutical composition
- 9. Claim 21, drawn to use of a nucleic acid as a marker for human hereditary diseases.
- 10. Claims 22 and 42, drawn to the use of a nucleic acid sequence for gene therapy
- 11. Claim 34, drawn to a method for the treatment of tumor disease with a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2.
- 12. Claims 19, 35 and 37 drawn to a method for the treatment of tumor disease with a oligonucleotide.

Art Unit: 1642

13. Claim 36, drawn to a method for the treatment of tumor disease with an antibody.

A national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. Unity of invention is fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among the inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding, special technical features which define a contribution over the prior art. If there is no special technical feature, if multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c), 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d)

The invention listed as Groups 1-13 do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 1.31 because, under PCT 13.2 they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons:

The technical feature of claim 1 is a nucleic acid coding for Prognostin or a derivative which codes for a polypeptide displaying 80% homology to SEQ ID NO:1.

Isogai et al (US Patent Application Publication 20070020637, filed January 21, 2004, priority filing date January 21, 2003) discloses a polynucleotide that has 99.6% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:1 encoding a polypeptide that has 99.3% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:2 Thus, Claim 1 lacks the special technical feature.

Thus, the different groups in the present application do not contain a single inventive concept and puts a serious search burden on the Examiner.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1642

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halvorson, PhD whose telephone number is (571) 272-6539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shanon Foley, can be reached at (571) 272-0898. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,823 Page 6

Art Unit: 1642

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halvorson Patent Examiner 571-272-6539

/Misook Yu/ Misook Yu, Primary Examiner Art Unit 1642